



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,520	12/31/2003	Guido Canzona	024.0043 (03-0476)	9248
29906	7590	11/01/2005	EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1742

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/750,520

Applicant(s)

CANZONA, GUIDO

Examiner

Daniel J. Jenkins

Art Unit

1742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 15-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 15-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Response of 7/28/05. The Examiner presents new argument at this time, based on the amendment now in the record as a result of the filing of the RCE, this action accordingly not make final.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 13, 15-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Upadhy et al. in view of Lange et al.

Upadhy et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Upadhy et al. discloses a method of forming a nano-scale microstructured object comprising:

providing a nano-scale aluminum (Al) powder (col. 4, lines 22-23 and col. 5, lines 10-23); and

consolidating the Al powder by hot isostatic pressing (HIPing) in a pressure transmitting medium (col. 5, lines 23-25) to form an aluminum object.

Upadhy et al. further discloses that the HIPing takes place at 250-350°C (482-662°F) to achieve density greater than 98.5% (col. 6, lines 37-54), and discloses an embodiment wherein the temperature is increased to 250-550°C (482-1022°F) to increase the density to almost 100% of theoretical density (col. 7, lines 9-15).

Thus, Upadhy et al. teaches to increase temperature to 482-1022°F in order to achieve higher density.

Art Unit: 1742

However, Upadhy et al. is silent as to performing the HIPing by a first and second temperature HIPing, but only states a single HIPing process.

Lange et al. teaches to perform HIPing in a pressure transmitting medium by incremental heating and pressing (col. 2, lines 49-56) in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of improving the distribution of pressure over the object being HIPed when the object has a shaped geometry (col. 7, lines 14-33).

The Examiner finds that although Lange et al. provides his teaching for refractory and higher melting point temperature powders, one of ordinary skill in the art would look to the overall teaching of HIPing, and learn that incremental HIPing provides for a more uniform pressure over the surface of complex shape.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incrementally select HIP temperature and pressure conditions in the invention of Upadhy et al. in the temperature range of 482-1022°F as taught by Lange et al. in order to form a uniformly dense complex shape. The overlap of ranges establishing a prima facie case of obviousness (See MPEP 2131.03).

The Examiner notes that the language “shaped nanophase aluminum powder” as found in claim 1 reads upon a spherical powder or a compacted aluminum powder.

Upadhy et al. is silent as to the HIPing being performed by mechanically pressing the powder.

Lange et al. further teaches that pressure can be applied by mechanically pressing a plunger (4) in the field of HIPing during an incremental HIPing method.

Art Unit: 1742

Upadhyaya et al. further discloses wherein the Al powder is enclosed in a container (col. 6, lines 36-40) which is deformed during HIPing, meeting the requirement of pending claim 21.

Upadhyaya et al. further discloses wherein the Al powder is degassed (col. 6, line 37).

Upadhyaya et al. further discloses an embodiment wherein the shaped powder is provided in the form of a cold pressed billet (see EXAMPLE 2).

4. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Upadhyaya et al. in view of Lange et al. and further in view of Fritzemeier et al. Upadhyaya et al. in view of Lange et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). However, Upadhyaya et al. teaches that during the practice of his invention, milling is performed at room temperature with a surfactant and not by cryomilling, and discloses this when forming a high purity Al powder. Upadhyaya et al. teaches that cryomilling is known, but that it imparts impurities into the powder (col. 4, line 22 to col. 5, line 33).

Fritzemeier et al. teaches at col. 3, lines 11-45, that Al powder can be cryomilled with a secondary metal to form aluminum alloy powders with of high strength in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of forming objects of high strength.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use cryomilling in the invention of Upadhyaya et al. as taught by Fritzemeier et al. when desiring an Al alloy object instead of an Al object.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Daniel J. Jenkins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1742

dj